

UT-770

R -invariant Natural Unification

Izawa K.-I. and T. Yanagida

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan

March, 1997

Abstract

We construct R -invariant unification models where a pair of massless Higgs doublets is naturally obtained. The masslessness of the Higgs doublets is guaranteed by the unbroken R symmetry. Mass generation for the Higgs doublets is considered from various viewpoints.

1 Introduction

Nonvanishing superpotential gives a negative cosmological constant in supergravity. R symmetry is a unique symmetry that forbids a constant term in superpotential and thus it may play a fundamental role for understanding a vanishing cosmological constant in supergravity. The R symmetry has been widely considered in phenomenology of supersymmetric (SUSY) gauge theories or supergravity, since it (or its discrete subgroup) can avoid too rapid proton decays [1, 2] and provide a candidate for cold dark matter in our universe [3]. In a recent article [4], it has been pointed out that the spontaneous breakdown of the R symmetry $U(1)_R$ to its discrete subgroup Z_{2nR} [5] produces a flat potential for a new inflation model.

Motivated by the above theoretical and phenomenological arguments, we construct, in this paper, R -invariant unification models.

In the next section, we show that the minimal SUSY grand unified theory (GUT) is easily extended to an R -invariant one. However, we stress that this model has a serious doublet-triplet splitting problem as all the SUSY-GUT's do. In section three, we construct an R -invariant extension of recently proposed natural unification theories [6, 7], where the doublet-triplet splitting problem is solved. Namely, masslessness of the Higgs doublets is guaranteed by the R symmetry, while the Higgs triplets have R -invariant masses at the unification scale. In this model, however, a pair of Higgs doublets is completely massless as long as the R symmetry is unbroken. In section four, we discuss how to generate a mass for the Higgs doublets at the electroweak scale by modifying the above model. The final section is devoted to a discussion on low-energy predictions of the R -invariant natural unification model. A possible connection to the superstring theory is also briefly noted.

2 A SUSY-GUT with a $U(1)_R$ symmetry

In this section, we consider the minimal GUT based on a gauge group $SU(5)$ [8]. The Higgs sector in the SUSY $SU(5)$ GUT consists of a pair of Higgs chiral multiplets H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ [9] transforming as $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{5}^*$ of $SU(5)_{GUT}$ and a **24** Higgs chiral multiplet Σ_j^i ($i, j = 1, \dots, 5$). The vacuum expectation value of the adjoint Higgs Σ is supposed to break the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ group down to the standard-model gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$:

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & & & & \\ & 2 & & & \\ & & 2 & & \\ & & & -3 & \\ & & & & -3 \end{pmatrix} V, \quad (1)$$

where V is at the GUT scale of order 10^{16} GeV.

An important point is that R charge of Σ must be vanishing, otherwise the R symmetry is spontaneously broken at the GUT scale to produce a negative cosmological constant of order the GUT scale in supergravity. Provided that the negative vacuum energy is canceled out by condensation energy of SUSY-breaking in the hidden sector, we obtain too large SUSY-breaking scale of order 10^{14} GeV in the visible sector [4]. Therefore we assume that the Σ multiplet transforms trivially under the $U(1)_R$ symmetry.

In order to construct a superpotential with R charge two, we introduce another adjoint Higgs Σ_j^i whose R charge is two. Then the renormalizable superpotential for the adjoint Higgs is given by

$$W_\Sigma = m \text{Tr}(\Sigma' \Sigma) + \lambda \text{Tr}(\Sigma' \Sigma^2). \quad (2)$$

We have a desired SUSY vacuum:

$$\langle \Sigma' \rangle = 0 \quad (3)$$

with Eq.(1) and $V = m/\lambda$. In this vacuum, the GUT gauge group is broken down to the standard-model one, while the superpotential is kept vanishing: $\langle W \rangle = 0$. This results from the fact that the R symmetry $U(1)_R$ is unbroken under Eqs.(3) and (1).

Let us turn to the mass term for the Higgs H and $\bar{H}$. We assume that the product $H\bar{H}$ has R charge two to make the color triplets in H and $\bar{H}$ heavy. Then their superpotential is given by

$$W_H = m' H \bar{H} + \lambda' H \Sigma \bar{H}. \quad (4)$$

In the broken phase Eq.(1), the color-triplet and weak-doublet components of H and $\bar{H}$ have different masses as

$$m_{H_C} = m' + \frac{2\lambda'}{\lambda}m, \quad m_{H_f} = m' - \frac{3\lambda'}{\lambda}m, \quad (5)$$

respectively. As pointed out in Ref.[1, 10], we need an extreme fine-tuning even in the SUSY extension of GUT's to obtain a pair of Higgs doublets with m_{H_f} of order 10^2 GeV. To avoid this unnatural tuning, we proceed to consider R invariance in recently proposed natural unification scheme [6].

3 An R -invariant natural unification model

The natural unification models [6, 7] are based on a product of two distinct gauge groups, G_{GUT} and G_H . The group G_{GUT} is the usual GUT gauge group and its coupling constant is in a perturbative regime, while the group G_H is a hypercolor gauge group whose coupling is strong at the unification scale. The color gauge group $SU(3)_C$ (or $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Y$) at low energies is a linear combination of $SU(3)$ (or $SU(3) \times U(1)$) subgroups of G_{GUT} and G_H , and the weak gauge group $SU(2)_L$ is a subgroup of G_{GUT} .¹ The strong

¹The converse choice is also possible.

coupling for the hypercolor group G_H is necessary to achieve an approximate unification of three gauge coupling constants of $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$.

In this paper, we consider an $SU(5)_{GUT} \times U(3)_H$ model. The quarks and leptons obey the usual transform law [8] under the GUT group $SU(5)_{GUT}$, while they are all singlets of the hypercolor group $U(3)_H$. We introduce a pair of Higgs H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) which transform as $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{5}^*$ under the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ and as singlets under the $U(3)_H$. So far all matter multiplets are the same as in the minimal SUSY $SU(5)_{GUT}$. This guarantees the electric charge quantization for the ordinary sector and the $m_b = m_\tau$ unification.

We introduce six pairs of hyperquarks Q_α^I and $\bar{Q}_I^\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 3; I = 1, \dots, 6$) which transform as $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{3}^*$ under the hypercolor $SU(3)_H$ and have $U(1)_H$ charges 1 and -1 , respectively. The first five pairs Q_α^i and $\bar{Q}_i^\alpha$ belong to $\mathbf{5}^*$ and $\mathbf{5}$ of $SU(5)_{GUT}$, respectively, and the last pair Q_α^6 and $\bar{Q}_6^\alpha$ are singlets of $SU(5)_{GUT}$.

Since Q_α^i and $\bar{Q}_i^\alpha$ are supposed to have vacuum expectation values of order the unification scale, they must be trivial representations of the R symmetry $U(1)_R$ as explained in the previous section. To cause a desired breaking of the total gauge group $SU(5)_{GUT} \times U(3)_H$, we are led to introduce chiral multiple with R charges two which couple to Q_α^I and $\bar{Q}_I^\alpha$. We take an adjoint representation X_β^α [11] of $U(3)_H$ in this paper. The renormalizable superpotential for Q_α^I , $\bar{Q}_I^\alpha$, X_β^α , H_i , and $\bar{H}^i$ is given by

$$W = \lambda Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_i^\beta X_\beta^\alpha + \lambda' Q_\alpha^6 \bar{Q}_6^\beta X_\beta^\alpha + h Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_6^\alpha H_i + h' Q_\alpha^6 \bar{Q}_i^\alpha \bar{H}^i, \quad (6)$$

where we have assumed the R charges of H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ to be two and those of Q_α^6 and $\bar{Q}_6^\alpha$ vanishing. In addition to the $U(1)_R$, this superpotential possesses another axial symmetry $U(1)_\chi$ given by

$$Q_\alpha^I \rightarrow e^{i\xi} Q_\alpha^I, \quad \bar{Q}_I^\alpha \rightarrow e^{i\xi} \bar{Q}_I^\alpha, \quad X_\beta^\alpha \rightarrow e^{-2i\xi} X_\beta^\alpha, \quad H_i \rightarrow e^{-2i\xi} H_i, \quad \bar{H}^i \rightarrow e^{-2i\xi} \bar{H}^i. \quad (7)$$

We can also impose this axial symmetry to avoid nonrenormalizable terms in the superpotential. Note that the global symmetries $U(1)_R$ and $U(1)_\chi$ have no $SU(3)_H$ anomaly.

The regular terms in the effective superpotential allowed by the symmetries [12] of the model is exactly the tree-level ones in Eq.(6).² Thus we consider the tree-level D and F term flatness conditions to obtain our quantum vacua.

The F -flatness conditions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\{Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_i^\beta - \frac{1}{3}\delta_\alpha^\beta \text{Tr}(Q^i \bar{Q}_i)\} + \lambda'\{Q_\alpha^6 \bar{Q}_6^\beta - \frac{1}{3}\delta_\alpha^\beta \text{Tr}(Q^6 \bar{Q}_6)\} &= 0, \\ Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_6^\alpha = 0, \quad Q_\alpha^6 \bar{Q}_i^\alpha = 0, \quad \lambda \bar{Q}_i^\alpha X_\beta^\alpha + h \bar{Q}_6^\alpha H_i &= 0, \\ \lambda Q_\alpha^i X_\beta^\alpha + h' Q_\beta^6 \bar{H}^i = 0, \quad \lambda' \bar{Q}_6^\beta X_\beta^\alpha + h' \bar{Q}_i^\alpha \bar{H}^i &= 0, \quad \lambda' Q_\alpha^6 X_\beta^\alpha + h Q_\beta^i H_i = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Together with the D -flatness conditions for $U(3)_H$, we obtain desired vacua as follows:

$$\langle X_\beta^\alpha \rangle = \langle H_i \rangle = \langle \bar{H}^i \rangle = 0, \quad \langle Q_\alpha^6 \rangle = \langle \bar{Q}_6^\alpha \rangle = 0, \quad \langle Q_\alpha^i \rangle = v \delta_\alpha^i, \quad \langle \bar{Q}_i^\alpha \rangle = v \delta_i^\alpha. \quad (9)$$

For $v \neq 0$, the total gauge group $SU(5)_{GUT} \times U(3)_H$ is broken down to $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$.

As noted in the beginning of this section, the color $SU(3)_C$ and the $U(1)_Y$ are, respectively, a linear combination of an $SU(3)$ subgroup of the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ and the hypercolor $SU(3)_H$ and that of a $U(1)$ subgroup of the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ and the strong $U(1)_H$. Thus the gauge coupling constants α_C , α_2 , and α_1 for $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ are given by [6]

$$\alpha_C \simeq \frac{\alpha_{GUT}}{1 + \alpha_{GUT}/\alpha_{3H}}, \quad \alpha_2 = \alpha_{GUT}, \quad \alpha_1 \simeq \frac{\alpha_{GUT}}{1 + \frac{1}{15}\alpha_{GUT}/\alpha_{1H}}, \quad (10)$$

²An invariant term with R charge two given by $\{\det(Q_\alpha^I X_\beta^\alpha \bar{Q}_J^\beta)\}^{1/6}$ is singular at the origin $Q_\alpha^I = \bar{Q}_I^\alpha = X_\beta^\alpha = 0$.

where α_{3H} and α_{1H} denote gauge coupling constants³ for the hypercolor $SU(3)_H$ and $U(1)_H$, respectively. We see from Eq.(10) that the unification of three gauge coupling constants $\alpha_C, \alpha_2, \alpha_1$ is achieved in the strong coupling limit of the hypercolor gauge interaction: α_{3H} and $\alpha_{1H} \rightarrow \infty$.⁴

In the vacuum Eq.(9), the color triplets H_a and $\bar{H}^a$ ($a = 1, \dots, 3$) gain masses of order v together with the sixth hyperquarks $\bar{Q}_6^\alpha$ and Q_α^6 (see Eq.(6)). On the other hand, the weak doublets H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ ($i = 4, 5$) remain massless since there are no partners for them. The masslessness for these doublets is guaranteed by the unbroken R symmetry.

Notice that the scale v is undetermined so far. This implies the presence of a flat direction in the present vacuum. The imaginary part of the scalar compone of the corresponding massless chiral multiplet is a Nambu-Goldstone mode related to the breaking of the axial $U(1)_\chi$ symmetry.

Thus we should break the $U(1)_\chi$ explicitly to fix the unification scale v and eliminate the flat direction. We introduce a singlet chiral multiplet ϕ whose $U(1)_R$ and $U(1)_\chi$ charges are 2 and -2 to have a soft breaking of the axial $U(1)_\chi$. Then we have a superpotential for ϕ :

$$W_\phi = kQ_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_i^\alpha \phi + k'Q_\alpha^6 \bar{Q}_6^\alpha \phi + M_\phi^2 \phi. \quad (11)$$

The M_ϕ^2 term is a $U(1)_\chi$ breaking one. With this superpotential, we obtain $3kv^2 = M_\phi^2$.

The $U(1)_\chi$ -breaking mass M_ϕ may stem from a condensation of some other hypercolor quarks. For example, we consider an $SU(2)_{H'}$ strong gauge theory with four hyperquarks Q_α^i where $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Provided that the hyperquarks Q_α^i have vanishing R charges and their $U(1)_\chi$ charges

³See Ref.[6] for the normalization of α_{1H} .

⁴Precisely speaking, in the strong coupling region, $\alpha_{3H}, \alpha_{1H} \gg 1$, the threshold corrections may yield substantial effects on the relations in Eq.(10). However, it has been pointed out [13] that $\alpha_{3H}, \alpha_{1H} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$ is sufficient to get the observed values of α_C, α_2 , and α_1 .

are one, the singlet ϕ can couple to Q_α^i as

$$W'_\phi = k''_{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} Q_\alpha^i Q_\beta^j \phi. \quad (12)$$

Nonperturbative effects of the strong $SU(2)_{H'}$ cause the $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} Q_\alpha^i Q_\beta^j$ condensation [14], which eventually induces the M_ϕ^2 term in Eq.(11).

Since the $U(1)_\chi$ has the strong $SU(2)_{H'}$ anomaly, there arises no massless Nambu-Goldstone multiplet associated with the spontaneous breaking of $U(1)_\chi$. Note that the R symmetry $U(1)_R$ has no strong $SU(2)_{H'}$ anomaly and hence it is still an exact symmetry.

We finally stress that there is no massless multiplet in the present vacuum except for the pair of Higgs doublets H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ ($i = 4, 5$) and three families of quark-lepton chiral multiplets. Our low-energy spectrum is nothing other than that of the SUSY standard model.

4 Mass generation for the Higgs doublets

The Higgs doublets are kept massless by the $U(1)_R$ symmetry. All the quark-lepton chiral multiplets have vanishing $U(1)_R$ charges so that they have Yukawa couplings to the Higgs doublets H_i and $\bar{H}^i$. It is remarkable that the R symmetry has a QCD anomaly and thus plays a role of the Peccei-Quinn symmetry [15] to suppress the strong CP violation.

The breaking scale v_{PQ} of the Peccei-Quinn symmetry is subject to astrophysical and cosmological constraints [16] as

$$10^{10} \text{GeV} \leq v_{PQ} \leq 10^{12} \text{GeV}. \quad (13)$$

Thus we assume that the breaking scale v_R of the $U(1)_R$ symmetry satisfies the same constraints:

$$10^{10} \text{GeV} \leq v_R \leq 10^{12} \text{GeV}. \quad (14)$$

In general, the Higgs doublets receive masses from the $U(1)_R$ breaking sector. The value of their masses depends on the R charge of the Higgs field η which breaks the R symmetry.

When the η has R charge -1 , a nonrenormalizable term

$$W_\theta = \frac{g}{M} H_i \bar{H}^i \eta^2 \quad (15)$$

induces a Higgs mass

$$\mu \simeq g \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^2}{M} \simeq g \frac{v_R^2}{M}, \quad (16)$$

where M denotes the gravitational scale $M \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{GeV}$. Eqs.(14) and (16) lead to a mass of the electroweak scale for the Higgs doublets with g of order one. This may be an encouraging result in the present model, though it seems accidental that the Higgs mass μ comes out to be of the same order as the SUSY-breaking scale.

In the rest of this section, we consider another model so modified that the Giudice-Masiero term [17] induces the Higgs-doublet mass of the electroweak scale through SUSY breaking in the hidden sector.⁵ The difference between the previous and modified models amounts to the global $U(1)$ charge assignments. The charges in the two models are given in Table 1. In the modified model, the renormalizable superpotential is given by

$$W = \lambda Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_i^\beta X_\beta^\alpha + k Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_i^\alpha \phi + h Q_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_6^\alpha H_i + h' Q_\alpha^6 \bar{Q}_i^\alpha \bar{H}^i + M_\phi^2 \phi, \quad (17)$$

where the M_ϕ^2 term is the soft breaking one of the $U(1)_\chi$ symmetry. We get the desired vacuum as in the previous model.⁶

⁵One may keep only a discrete subgroup of $U(1)_R$ in the previous model to allow the Giudice-Masiero term.

⁶The superpotential Eq.(17) possesses another global $U(1)$. Two linear combinations of the three global $U(1)$'s are the same as the $U(1)_R$ and $U(1)_\chi$ in the previous model. One must introduce nonrenormalizable superpotentials to eliminate the extra $U(1)$, which however does not affect our conclusion.

The Higgs doublets H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ ($i = 4, 5$) never acquire masses as long as $\langle X \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle = \langle Q_\alpha^6 \rangle = \langle \bar{Q}_6^\alpha \rangle = 0$. In contrast to the previous model, however, the Higgs multiplets H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ have vanishing charges for $U(1)_R$ and $U(1)_X$, which allows their coupling to the Polonyi field Z in the Kähler potential as

$$K = \frac{g'}{M} Z^* H_i \bar{H}^i + h.c. \quad (18)$$

Since the Polonyi field Z is supposed to have a nonvanishing F term $\langle F_Z \rangle \simeq (10^{11} \text{GeV})^2$ to break the SUSY, the Kähler potential (18) gives rise to the Higgs mass [17]

$$\mu \simeq g' \frac{\langle F_Z \rangle}{M}. \quad (19)$$

With g' of order one, the mass μ turns out to be of the SUSY-breaking scale or the electroweak scale as desired.

5 Conclusion

We have constructed R -invariant unification models where a pair of massless Higgs doublets is naturally obtained.⁷ The masslessness of the Higgs doublets is guaranteed by the unbroken R symmetry. These natural unification models are based on the gauge group $SU(5)_{GUT} \times U(3)_H$. All of the quark-lepton multiplets and the Higgs H_i and $\bar{H}^i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) are singlets of the $U(3)_H$ and they belong to the standard representations of the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ as in the minimal SUSY-GUT. Therefore some predictions on the quark-lepton sector are intact in our models with a non-simple gauge group. The $m_b = m_\tau$ unification is an example. Another example is the unification of soft SUSY-breaking masses for scalar quarks and leptons. Namely, scalar components of the chiral multiplets which belong to the same multiplets of the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ have the same SUSY-breaking masses at the unification scale.

⁷We have used $U(1)_R$ in this paper, though a discrete R symmetry Z_{nR} with large n is sufficient for our purpose.

On the contrary, the gauge sector in the natural unification models is different from that in the usual SUSY-GUT's. It is a crucial difference that the color $SU(3)_C$ is a diagonal subgroup of an $SU(3)$ subgroup of the $SU(5)_{GUT}$ and the hypercolor $SU(3)_H$. As a consequence, we have a smaller value of α_c than the prediction of the usual SUSY-GUT's. We note that the recent experimental values of α_c [18] seem to support the present models. As for the gaugino masses, we have no prediction without an extra assumption.

An important ingredient in the present models is the presence of unbroken R symmetry. As a direct consequence of the symmetry, the dimension-five operators for nucleon decays [2] are suppressed. Thus the observation of dimension-five nucleon decays would exclude the present type of natural unification models.⁸

All of the natural unification models are based on products of two distinct gauge groups, one of whose coupling constants is in a perturbative regime and the other in a strong coupling region. This basic structure of the gauge group might be realized in some superstring theories. In fact, a recent development on string theory indicates that nonperturbative dynamics in string theory may produce some extra gauge symmetries beside the perturbative one [19], though compactifications to four-dimensional spacetime is not yet thoroughly understood. We hope that an extensive study on the superstring compactification to realistic models reveals the structure of unification in elementary particle physics.

⁸The natural unification model in Ref.[7] allows the dimension-five operator for nucleon decays.

References

- [1] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. **B193** (1981) 150.
- [2] N. Sakai and T. Yanagida, Nucl. Phys. **B197** (1982) 533;
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D26** (1982) 287.
- [3] For a review, H.P. Nilles, Phys. Rep. **110** (1984) 1.
- [4] Izawa K.-I. and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B393** (1997) 331.
- [5] K. Kumeekawa, T. Moroi, and T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **92** (1994) 437.
- [6] T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B344** (1995) 211;
T. Hotta, Izawa K.-I., and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 3913;
Prog. Theor. Phys. **95** (1996) 949; Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 6970.
- [7] T. Hotta, Izawa K.-I., and T. Yanagida, hep-ph/9511431.
- [8] H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** (1974) 438.
- [9] P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. **B69** (1977) 489.
- [10] N. Sakai, Z. Phys. **C11** (1981) 153.
- [11] J. Hisano and T. Yanagida, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A10** (1995) 3097.
- [12] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B318** (1993) 469.
- [13] N. Arkani-Hamed, H.-C. Cheng, and T. Moroi, Phys. Lett. **B387** (1996) 529.
- [14] V. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, Nucl. Phys. **B422** (1994) 57;
N. Seiberg, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 6857.

- [15] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1440;
Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 1791.
- [16] J.E. Kim, Phys. Rep. **150** (1987) 1.
- [17] G.F. Giudice and A. Masiero, Phys. Lett. **B206** (1988) 480.
- [18] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 1.
- [19] For a review, J.H. Schwarz, hep-th/9607201.

	First model		Second model	
	$U(1)_R$	$U(1)_\chi$	$U(1)_R$	$U(1)_\chi$
Q_α^i, Q_i^α	0	1	0	1
Q_α^6, Q_6^α	0	1	2	-1
X_β^α, ϕ	2	-2	2	-2
H_i, H^i	2	-2	0	0

Table 1: Charge assignments in the two models.